



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,338	03/29/2001	Martin Sting	1370/14	5357

7590                    02/04/2003

Michael J Cumming  
Pitney Bowes Inc  
Intellectual Property Law Department  
35 Waterview Drive P O Box 3000  
Shelton, CT 06848-8000

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

TAWFIK, SAMEH

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3721

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application N .</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	MP
	09/821,338	STING ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
  - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- Disposition of Claims**
- 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
  - 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
  - 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
  - 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
  - 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Priority***

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### ***Specification***

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belec et al.

(5,388,388) in view of Becher (Germen Patent 2319866).

Belec discloses an envelope filling station having an envelope filling bench (Fig. 2; via deck 36) which is added onto a push in station (Fig. 2; via inserting station 20) of a mail processing machine, in which enclosures or sets of enclosures are conveyed (Fig. 3; via belt

Art Unit: 3721

transport 52) into the push in station ((20) by means of conveyor and are pushed into envelopes (24) by means of a push in arrangement, the envelopes being conveyed by means of an envelope conveying arrangement (Fig. 3; via belt 34), on the envelope filling bench (36), into a position opposite the push in arrangement and being opened there (Fig. 3; via suction cups 48) and held ready for receiving the enclosures or sets of enclosures (Fig. 3), and once filled being conveyed further characterized in that the envelope conveying arrangement contains a circulating envelope conveying belt (34) of which the top strand is guided over the envelope filling bench (36); stop means are arranged along the top strand of the envelope conveying belt and can be brought into an active position directly above the level of the envelope filling bench and the envelopes can be conveyed up separately against in particular adjustable stops (Fig. 2; via back stop 38) from a horizontal direction perpendicular to the running direction of the top strand of the envelope conveying belt (34). Belec does not disclose a roller bar is lowered against the top strand of the circulating envelope conveying belt and raised from it in a controlled manner. However, Becher discloses a similar envelope filling station comprising a roller bar (Fig. 2; via 25 and 31) is lowered against the top strand of the circulating envelope conveying belt and raised from it in a controlled manner (Figs. 1 and 2); and has beam like carrier housing which is coupled to drive means (Figs. 1 and 2; via 34 and 38) for raising and lowering it.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the upper conveying means (54) of Belec by having Becher's roller bar (25 and 31) in order to control the conveying of the envelop by using a plurality of rollers which simplify the conveying process.

Belec neither disclose that the circulating envelope conveying belt is oriented transversely to the push in direction. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Belec's envelope filling station by making the circulating envelope conveying belt is oriented transversely to the push in direction, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Miller 6293076, Sting 6289658, Auerbach 5924265, Kiinne 4886908, Ehlscheid 4674258, and Irvine 4020615 disclose different envelope feeding apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is (703) 308-2809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-7769 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ST.  
January 28, 2003

  
EUGENE KIM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER